

Operational Semantics

KC Sivaramakrishnan

Spring 2021

IIT
MADRAS



WADKAS



Language

Numbers	n	\in	\mathbb{N}
Variables	x	\in	Strings
Expressions	e	$::=$	$n \mid x \mid e + e \mid e - e \mid e \times e$
Commands	c	$::=$	$\text{skip} \mid x \leftarrow e \mid c; c \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } c \text{ else } c \mid \text{while } e \text{ do } c$

Big Step Semantics

- Says what the result is when the program terminates

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{(v, \text{skip}) \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{}{(v, x \leftarrow e) \Downarrow v[x \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket v]} \quad \frac{(v, c_1) \Downarrow v_1 \quad (v_1, c_2) \Downarrow v_2}{(v, c_1; c_2) \Downarrow v_2} \\
 \\
 \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v \neq 0 \quad (v, c_1) \Downarrow v'}{(v, \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2) \Downarrow v'} \quad \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v = 0 \quad (v, c_2) \Downarrow v'}{(v, \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2) \Downarrow v'} \\
 \\
 \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v \neq 0 \quad (v, c_1) \Downarrow v_1 \quad (v_1, \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1) \Downarrow v_2}{(v, \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1) \Downarrow v_2} \quad \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v = 0}{(v, \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1) \Downarrow v}
 \end{array}$$

Small Step Semantics

- Big step semantics only says something about *terminating* programs
 - ♦ Program may not terminate (web server)
 - ♦ Unclear how to model concurrent interleaving of threads
- Says what the result is when the program takes a *single step*
 - ♦ Can model non-termination, concurrency

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{(v, x \leftarrow e) \rightarrow (v[x \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket v], \text{skip})} \quad \frac{(v, c_1) \rightarrow (v', c'_1)}{(v, c_1; c_2) \rightarrow (v', c'_1; c_2)} \quad \frac{}{(v, \text{skip}; c_2) \rightarrow (v, c_2)} \\
 \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v \neq 0}{(v, \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2) \rightarrow (v, c_1)} \quad \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v = 0}{(v, \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2) \rightarrow (v, c_2)} \\
 \frac{}{(v, \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1) \rightarrow (v, c_1; \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1)} \quad \frac{}{(v, \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1) \rightarrow (v, \text{skip})}
 \end{array}$$

Congruence rules are tedious

$$\frac{(v, c_1) \rightarrow (v', c'_1)}{(v, c_1; c_2) \rightarrow (v', c'_1; c_2)}$$

Contextual Small-step Semantics

Evaluation contexts $C ::= \square \mid C; c$

 **Hole**

$$\square[c] = c$$

$$(C; c_2)[c] = C[c]; c_2$$

Plugging a Hole

Contextual Small-step Semantics for cmd

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{(v, x \leftarrow e) \rightarrow_0 (v[x \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket v], \text{skip})} \quad \overline{(v, \text{skip}; c_2) \rightarrow_0 (v, c_2)} \\
 \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v \neq 0}{(v, \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2) \rightarrow_0 (v, c_1)} \quad \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v = 0}{(v, \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2) \rightarrow_0 (v, c_2)} \\
 \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v \neq 0}{(v, \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1) \rightarrow_0 (v, c_1; \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1)} \quad \frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket v = 0}{(v, \text{while } e \text{ do } c_1) \rightarrow_0 (v, \text{skip})} \\
 \\
 \frac{(v, c) \rightarrow_0 (v', c')}{(v, C[c]) \rightarrow_c (v', C[c'])}
 \end{array}$$

Contextual Small-step Semantics for cmd

THEOREM 7.11. *There exists valuation v such that $(\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2], \text{factorial}) \rightarrow_c^* (v, \text{skip})$ and $v(\text{output}) = 2$.*

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2], \text{output} \leftarrow 1; \text{factorial_loop}) \\
 = & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2], (\square; \text{factorial_loop})[\text{output} \leftarrow 1]) \\
 \rightarrow_c & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 1], \text{skip}; \text{factorial_loop}) \\
 = & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 1], \square[\text{skip}; \text{factorial_loop}]) \\
 \rightarrow_c & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 1], \text{factorial_loop}) \\
 = & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 1], \square[\text{factorial_loop}]) \\
 \rightarrow_c & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 1], (\text{output} \leftarrow \text{output} \times \text{input}; \text{input} \leftarrow \text{input} - 1); \text{factorial_loop}) \\
 = & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 1], ((\square; \text{input} \leftarrow \text{input} - 1); \text{factorial_loop})[\text{output} \leftarrow \text{output} \times \text{input}]) \\
 \rightarrow_c & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 2], (\text{skip}; \text{input} \leftarrow \text{input} - 1); \text{factorial_loop}) \\
 = & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 2], (\square; \text{factorial_loop})[\text{skip}; \text{input} \leftarrow \text{input} - 1]) \\
 \rightarrow_c & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 2], \text{input} \leftarrow \text{input} - 1; \text{factorial_loop}) \\
 = & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 2][\text{output} \mapsto 2], (\square; \text{factorial_loop})[\text{input} \leftarrow \text{input} - 1]) \\
 \rightarrow_c & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 1][\text{output} \mapsto 2], \text{skip}; \text{factorial_loop}) \\
 = & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 1][\text{output} \mapsto 2], \square[\text{skip}; \text{factorial_loop}]) \\
 \rightarrow_c^* & \dots \\
 \rightarrow_c & (\bullet[\text{input} \mapsto 0][\text{output} \mapsto 2], \text{skip})
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the final valuation assigns output to 2. □

Equivalence

7.3.1. Equivalence of Small-Step, With and Without Evaluation Contexts. This new semantics formulation is equivalent to the other two, as we establish now.

THEOREM 7.12. *If $(v, c) \rightarrow (v', c')$, then $(v, c) \rightarrow_c (v', c')$.*

PROOF. By induction on the derivation of $(v, c) \rightarrow (v', c')$. □

LEMMA 7.13. *If $(v, c) \rightarrow_0 (v', c')$, then $(v, c) \rightarrow (v', c')$.*

PROOF. By cases on the derivation of $(v, c) \rightarrow_0 (v', c')$. □

LEMMA 7.14. *If $(v, c) \rightarrow_0 (v', c')$, then $(v, C[c]) \rightarrow (v', C[c'])$.*

PROOF. By induction on the structure of evaluation context C , appealing to the last lemma. □

THEOREM 7.15. *If $(v, c) \rightarrow_c (v', c')$, then $(v, c) \rightarrow (v', c')$.*

PROOF. By inversion on the derivation of $(v, c) \rightarrow_c (v', c')$, followed by an appeal to the last lemma. □

Context Payoff: Concurrency

Commands $c ::= \dots \mid c \parallel c$

Evaluation contexts $C ::= \dots \mid C \parallel c \mid c \parallel C$

$\overline{(v, \text{skip} \parallel c) \rightarrow_0 (v, c)}$