Mutable(?) data structures

CS3100 Fall 2019

Review

Previously

Control in Prolog

This lecture

• Simulating mutable data structure in Prolog.

Variables in terms

- So far all of our uses of variables have been in queries or rules, but not in terms representing objects.
- Here is a open list which has a prefix of [a,b].

```
?- L = [1, 2 | X]
L = [1, 2|X].
```

• We can (pretend to) extend the list L by unifying X with something else.

```
?- L = [1, 2 | X], X = [3 | Y]
L = [1, 2, 3|Y], X = [3|Y].
X = [3|Y].
```

Such lists are said to be open lists.

Jupyter + Prolog fail!

Jupyter + Prolog is a solution in development (read as does not work as intended).

In [1]:

?-L = [1, 2 | X].

X = 1676, L = [1, 2].

The result should have been $X = _G861$, L = [1, 2 | X].

We will use the SWI-Prolog interpreter directly for this lecture.

Queues

We will use open lists to represent queues.

- A queue is represented by q(L,E), where
 - L is be an open list
 - E is some suffix of L.
- The contents of the queue are the elements in $\ensuremath{ \ {\rm L} }$ that are not in $\ensuremath{ {\rm E} }$.

Enter and Leave

We will use predicates enter and leave to capture elements entering and leaving the queue.

- enter(a,Q,R): when an element a enters the queue Q, we get the queue R.
- leave(a, Q, R): when an element a leaves the queue Q, we get the queue R.

Implementing the queues

```
setup(q(X,X)).
leave(A, q(X,Z), q(Y,Z)) :- X = [A | Y].
enter(A, q(X,Y), q(X,Z)) :- Y = [A | Z].
wrapup(q([],[])).
```

Let's try

```
?- setup(Q), enter(0,Q,R).
Q = q([0|_9530], [0|_9530]),
R = q([0|_9530], _9530).
```

- Quite a strange behaviour: Remove 0 from the suffix of Q!
 - But as a result, the queue R has one element 0 which is not in the suffix.
 - Therefore, the queue R has one element 0.

Implementing Queues

leave(A, q(X,Z), q(Y,Z)) :- X = [A | Y].

while leave removes an element from the prefix.

enter(A, q(X,Y), q(X,Z)) :- Y = [A | Z].

enter removes element from the suffix!

Working with the queues

```
?- setup(Q), enter(a,Q,R), enter(b,R,S),
        leave(X,S,T), leave(Y,T,U), wrapup(U).
Q = q([a, b], [a, b]),
R = q([a, b], [b]),
S = q([a, b], []),
X = a,
T = q([b], []),
Y = b,
U = q([], []).
```

Quiz 1

Given

What are the lengths of Q, R, S, T, U?

Quiz 1

Given

```
?- setup(Q), enter(a,Q,R), enter(b,R,S),
    leave(X,S,T), leave(Y,T,U), wrapup(U).
Q = q([a, b], [a, b]),
R = q([a, b], [b]),
S = q([a, b], []),
X = a,
T = q([b], []),
Y = b,
U = q([], []).
```

What are the lengths of Q, R, S, T, U? 0, 1, 2, 1, 0.

Deficit queues

Interestingly, the implementation also works where arbitrary elements are first popped and then unfied with elements pushed later.

lec22

```
?- setup(Q), leave(X,Q,R), leave(Y,R,S),
    enter(a,S,T), enter(b,T,U), wrapup(U).
Q = q([a, b], [a, b]),
X = a,
R = q([b], [a, b]),
Y = b,
S = q([], [a, b]),
T = q([], [b]),
U = q([], []).
```

Quiz 2

```
Given
```

```
?- setup(Q), leave(X,Q,R), leave(Y,R,S), enter(a,S,T), enter(b,T,U), wrapup
(U).
Q = q([a, b], [a, b]),
X = a,
R = q([b], [a, b]),
Y = b,
S = q([], [a, b]),
T = q([], [b]),
U = q([], []).
```

What is the length of Q, R, S, T, and U?

Quiz 2

```
Given
```

```
?- setup(Q), leave(X,Q,R), leave(Y,R,S), enter(a,S,T), enter(b,T,U), wrapup
(U).
Q = q([a, b], [a, b]),
X = a,
R = q([b], [a, b]),
Y = b,
S = q([], [a, b]),
T = q([], [b]),
U = q([], []).
```

What is the length of Q, R, S, T, and U? 0, -1, -2, -1, 0

Quiz 3

What is the result of this query?

```
?- setup(Q), leave(a,Q,R), wrapup(R).
```

```
1. false.
```

^{2.} true with some assignments for variables.

Quiz 3

What is the result of this query?

```
?- setup(Q), leave(a,Q,R), wrapup(R).
```

1. false. 🗸

2. true with some assignments for variables.

Quiz 4

Given

```
setup(s(X,X)).
leave(A, s(X,Z), s(Y,Z)) :- X = [A | Y].
wrapup(q([],[])).
```

what is the enter rule for LIFO stack?

```
1. enter(A, s(X,Y), s(X,Z)) :- Y = [A | Z]
2. enter(A, s(X,Z), s(Y,Z)) :- Y = [A | X]
3. enter(A, s(X,Y), s(Y,Z)) :- X = [A | Y]
4. enter(A, s(X,Z), s(Z,Y)) :- Y = [A | X]
```

Quiz 4

Given

```
setup(s(X,X)).
leave(A, s(X,Z), s(Y,Z)) :- X = [A | Y].
wrapup(q([],[])).
```

what is the enter rule for LIFO stack?

```
1. enter(A, s(X,Y), s(X,Z)) :- Y = [A | Z]

2. enter(A, s(X,Z), s(Y,Z)) :- Y = [A | X] \checkmark

3. enter(A, s(X,Y), s(Y,Z)) :- X = [A | Y]

4. enter(A, s(X,Z), s(Z,Y)) :- Y = [A | X]
```

Simplifying the queue implementation

enter(A, q(X,Y), q(X,Z)) :- Y = [A | Z]. leave(A, q(X,Z), q(Y,Z)) :- X = [A | Y].

can be simplified to

enter(A, q(X, [A | Z]), q(X, Z)). leave(A, q([A | Y], Z), q(Y, Z)). by pushing the unification into the head of the rule to make it a fact.

Motivating Difference Lists

Recall the definition of append on regular lists

In [2]:

```
append([],Q,Q).
append([H | P], Q, [H | R]) :- append(P,Q,R).
```

```
Added 2 clauses(s).
```

It is easy to see that this append is O(N) operation, where N is the length of the first list.

Motivating Difference Lists

Given two lists [1,2,3] and [4,5,6], we can rewrite them as

```
append(L1,L2,X)
where
L1 = [1,2,3 | []]
L2 = [4,5,6 | []]
```

Instead of having [] as the tail, what if we had a variable.

Motivating Difference Lists

append(L1,L2,X) where L1 = [1,2,3 | A] L2 = [4,5,6 | B]

- Then, append is really unifying A and L2 to derive the result list X = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 | B].
- Now, append becomes an O(1) operation.
- Such a list representation is known as a difference list.

Reimplementing Append

append(L1,S1,L2,S2,L3,S3) :- ...

where Li is the reference to the list, and Si is the reference to the some suffix of the list.

- Similar to queues, the content of each list is the list of all elements in Li not in Si
 - Hence the name difference list.

Reimplementing Append

append(L1,S1,L2,S2,L3,S3) :- S1 = L2, L1=L3, S2=S3.

. Pushing the unification into the head of the rule, we get

append(L1,L2,L2,S2,L1,S2).

. .

Renaming the variables, we get.

```
append(A,B,B,C,A,C).
```

Convenient notation for difference lists

- We can introduce an infix function symbol to represent difference lists.
 - A-B represents a difference list with list A with some suffix B.
- Whenever you see A-B, you should imagine [...|B]-B.

Rewriting the append rule

append(A-B,B-C,A-C).

Quiz

How should you represent an empty difference list?

1. 🛛

2. []-[]

3. A-A

4. [A]

Quiz

How should you represent an empty difference list?

1. []

2. []-[]

3. A-A ✔

4. [A]

Empty difference list representation

append(A-B,B-C,A-C)

Consider appending onto an empty difference list.

With the empty list represented using A-A, we get

append(A-A,[1,2,3|C]-C,A-C)

The unifications we get are A = [1,2,3|C]. Hence the result is just [1,2,3|C]-C, which is what we want.

Empty difference list representation

append(A-B,B-C,A-C)

OTOH, with the empty list represented using []-[], we get

append([]-[],[1,2,3|C]-C,A-C)

which fails to unify since [] does not unify with [1,2,3|C].

- It appears that the correct way to encode an empty difference list is A-A.
 - But this can cause problems sometimes.

Unification issues with empty difference list

Consider

A-A = [1, 2, 3 | B] - B

The second term on LHS, A unfies with B on RHS. So we get,

A-A = [1, 2, 3 | A] - A

Now, unfifying A with [1,2,3 | A], makes A an infinite term [1,2,3 | [1,2,3 | [1,2,3 [...]]]].

This is the lack of occurs check before unfication in prolog.

length of difference list.

Length of an ordinary list

```
len([],0).
len([H|T],N) :- len(T,M), N is M+1.
```

We might try to write down the length of a difference list using the same structure:

```
len(A-A,0).
len([_|T]-T1,N) :- len(T-T1,M), N is M+1.
```

Quiz

```
What is the length of len([1,2,3|A]-A,B)?
```

```
    A = _, B = 3
    Error: Arguments not sufficiently instantiated
    A = infinite term, B = 0
    false.
```

Quiz

What is the length of len([1,2,3|A]-A,B) ? 127.0.0.1:8888/notebooks/lec22/lec22.ipynb

```
    A = _, B = 3
    Error: Arguments not sufficiently instantiated
    A = infinite term, B = 0 ✓
```

4. false.

len([1,2,3 | A]-A, B) unifies with len(A-A,B).

Quiz

What is the length of len([1,2,3|A]-A,B)?

- 1. A = _, B = 3 **√**
- 2. Error: Arguments not sufficiently instantiated
- 3. A = infinite term, B = 0 \checkmark
- 4. false.

Surprisingly, $A = _, B = 3$ is also one of the results.

Exercise: Trace by hand.

Solution 1: Grounding the empty difference list

You can ground the empty difference list by forcing an empty difference list to unify with a pair of empty lists.

```
len2([]-[],0).
len2([_|T]-T1,N) :- len2(T-T1,M), N is M+1.
```

- This gives the right answer for len2([1,2,3|A]-A,B)
 - But unifies the tail of the list A with [] and destroys extensibility.
 - Seemingly pure length function also mutates the list :-(

Solution 2: occurs check

• Infinite list problem occurs due to [1,2,3 | A] unifying with A.

• Let us enable occurs check to prevent these terms from unifying.

```
len3(A-A1,0) :- unify_with_occurs_check(A,A1).
len3([_|T]-T1,N) :- len3(T-T1,M), N is M+1.
```

You can also enable occurs_check by default by the query

?- set_prolog_flag(occurs_check,true).

Difference list rotation

```
Define a procedure rotate(X, Y) where both X and Y are
represented by difference lists, and Y is formed by
rotating X to the left by one element.
```

List rotation

rotate([H|T],L) :- append(T,[H],L).

Rewrite with difference lists

```
rotate([H|T],R) :- append(T,[H],R).
```

becomes

```
rotate([H|T]-T1,R-S) :- append(T-T1,[H|A]-A,R-S).
```

Rename the variables

rotate([H|T]-T1,R-S) :- append(T-T1,[H|A]-A,R-S).

- append will unify T1 = [H|A], T = R and A = S.
 - Apply this renaming.

rotate([H|T]-[H|A],T-A) :- append(T-[H|A],[H|A]-A,T-A).

Get rid of append

rotate([H|T]-[H|A],T-A) :- append(T-[H|A],[H|A]-A,T-A).

- Observe that the append is redundant
 - When this append succeeds, no new unifications are obtained.
 - Remove it to get

rotate([H|T]-[H|A],T-A).

Testing Rotate

?- rotate([1,2,3|A]-A,R).
A = [1|_12344],
R = [2, 3, 1|_12344]-_12344.

Fin.